

COMMISSION ON TECHNOLOGY
Agenda Information/Action Item
Meeting Date: June 3, 2016

<i>Agenda Item:</i>	<i>Type of Action Requested:</i>
<u>STRATEGIC PROJECTS REVIEW/UPDATES</u>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Formal Action/Request <input type="checkbox"/> Information Only <input type="checkbox"/> Other

FROM:

COT SUBCOMMITTEE CHAIRS

- **CACC – Mr. Phil Knox, Maricopa Superior Court Trial Court Administrator**
- **PACC – Ms. Rona Newton, Automation Manager, Pima Juvenile Court**
- **e-COURT – Mr. Marcus Reinkensmeyer, Director, AOC Court Services Division**
- **TAC – Mr. Karl Heckart, AOC ITD Director, CIO**

SUMMARY:

Updates are listed as action items in the event that members feel the need to create motions in response to the material shared. No motions are required to be made.

The **CACC Update** recaps the status of trial court projects being monitored on COT's behalf, many of which are priorities from the previous annual meeting. The presentation will also review some key project accomplishments, milestones planned for next year, and challenges faced by current statewide projects, some of which will require additional but already committed resources to address.

The **PACC Update** provides progress with probation automation over the past year and a preview of efforts in probation automation over the next fiscal year. The chair will update members on the various JOLTSaz implementations performed thus far as well as the approach and order for the remaining counties, the AZYAS rewrite for supportability, and automation work performed in Pima and Maricopa counties.

The **e-Court Update** recaps the progress being made with four “e” projects at the various levels of court. Marcus will update members on specific projects and current timelines for expanding the projects’ depth and reach with a particular focus on Yavapai Superior Court, the current pilot location.

The **TAC Update** focuses on key technology topics discussed during the year and the anticipated work of the subcommittee during the next fiscal year. Karl will focus attention on the update to the enterprise architecture standards table, the need to set minimum security standards for courts, proposed changes in the wording of the code section for destruction of paper, and time extensions for courts to carry out the recommendations of the electronic records retention and destruction committee. Formal consideration of the individual items will take place in a separate agenda item.

Presentations received from the subcommittee chairs are attached.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommendation depends on the specifics of any motion made by a subcommittee chair. Any funding requests must be approved in a separate agenda item.

ACTION OPTIONS:

A MOTION MAY BE DOCUMENTED IN RELATION TO THE PLAN OR NEEDS PRESENTED BY ANY INDIVIDUAL PROJECT. IF SO, THESE ARE THE OPTIONS:

- 1. Approve the motion as stated.**
- 2. Table the stated motion for later action.**
- 3. Approve the motion with changes as documented.**
- 4. Disapprove the motion, taking no further action.**